

BURTON CREEK STATE PARK GENERAL PLAN MEETING
JULY 7, 2005 – NORTH TAHOE HIGH SCHOOL

PURPOSE

The purpose of the meeting was to accept public comment on the Burton Creek State Park Preliminary General Plan/Draft EIR. The plan was released for public comment on June 15, 2005. The public meeting was held three weeks later. Approximately 25 people attended the meeting.

Below is a summary of the comments. Similar comments were grouped together to facilitate the development of responses.

- | | |
|---|--|
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">1</div> | 1. The business community of Tahoe City requested the department to explore the possibility of a parking lot across from Tahoe State Recreation Area to be used by business patrons, transit users and park users. |
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">2</div> | 2. Proposed access at Tamarack Lodge may violate the preserve designation. |
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">3</div> | 3. Remove the proposed alternative administrative site on the Dollar property as it would be against the Tahoe Conservancy goals of resource protection. |
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">4</div> | 4. Are there other preserves proposed? |
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">5</div> | 5. You should favor improvements to the environment over development. |
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">6</div> | 6. What about accessing the park through the Fiberboard Freeway |
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">7</div> | 7. Define "Overriding Considerations" in the document. |
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">8</div> | 8. The document talks about keeping traffic at level d. What is it never gets to a level d in the first place? Will you still build a campground. |
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">see # to right</div> | 9. Need to define DOM, fix bird and plant lists, discuss carrying capacity |
| | 10. Where will the water come from for the campground? |
| | 11. How will the trailhead on the east side of the property be integrated with the recreation center? |
| | 12. Is there a bypass road proposed in Tahoe City? |
| | 13. Check your language in the executive summary – contradicts the document in some places. |
| | 14. Winter access and winter camping – what do you plan there? |

15. Why can't the Dollar property stay in the hands of the Tahoe Conservancy?
16. Your stats on second homeowners is misleading – clarify that many permanent renters live in those homes.
17. Explain how a campground will improve traffic. It will actually make it worse.
18. You will have to deal with the conflict of casual winter users and the ski groom concession.
19. Road removal could effect cultural resources (of roads).
20. Consider lower flat for campground.
21. Address fire issues from fuels and people stand point
22. What about those alternative campground locations? Are you going to build there too? And what about the alternative road? Will you use it if the other road falls through?
23. What assurances to we have you won't throw the plan out some day and do what ever you want?
24. Why don't you pursue the water rights on Burton Creek from the golf course?
25. Make all the Dollar property a preserve.
26. Where and when will the commission meeting be.

Dear Mr. Anderson,

P 1

P 2

[illegible]

Ken Anderson, Sierra District
P.O. Box 266
Tahoma, CA 96142
Kande@parks.ca.gov

Dear Mr. Anderson,










I am opposed to the plans for a campground in Burton Creek State Park. I feel that the Park best serves the public of the State of California and all of the tourists enjoying the Lake Tahoe area by remaining in its current "unimproved" state. After considering the impact on year round recreation in the park, environmental concerns, fire danger, and state budget issues, I oppose all of the alternatives proposed in the Burton Creek General Plan and would suggest enlargement of existing campgrounds to serve any perceived shortfall in State Park sites. Thanks for your Attention,

Name	Signed	Address	Date
Alice Cathoun	<i>[Signature]</i>	P.O. Box 7932 T.O.	7/26/05
Kristina Bergstrom	<i>[Signature]</i>	10181 Pine Cone, Truckee CA	9/6/05
Valley Hwy 878	<i>[Signature]</i>	P.O. 43 Camp Beach, CA	7/27/05
Lisa Schell	<i>[Signature]</i>	P.O. Box 10920 Truckee CA	9/16/05
Shane McConkey	<i>[Signature]</i>	Box 3666 Olympic Valley CA	9/6/05
Arton Sandreiner	<i>[Signature]</i>	10630 Heather Rd Truckee CA	9/16/05
Bonnie L. Forest	<i>[Signature]</i>	10549 Mark's Dr Truckee CA	9/16/05
Glen Houlson	<i>[Signature]</i>	P.O. Box 2008 Olympic Valley CA	9/6/05

Ken Anderson, Sierra District
P.O. Box 266
Tahoma, CA 96142
Kande@parks.ca.gov

Dear Mr. Anderson,

I am opposed to the plans for a campground in Burton Creek State Park. I feel that the Park best serves the public of the State of California and all of the tourists enjoying the Lake Tahoe area by remaining in its current "unimproved" state. After considering the impact on year round recreation in the park, environmental concerns, fire danger, and state budget issues, I oppose all of the alternatives proposed in the Burton Creek General Plan and would suggest enlargement of existing campgrounds to serve any perceived shortfall in State Park sites. Thanks for your Attention,

Name	Signed	Address	Date
Chris Sweeney		5995 Lagoon Rd. Homeport	7/25/05
Melissa Howe		250 Anderson #47 Tahoe Vista CA	7/25/05
Derek Mear		P.O. 298 TIC 96145	7/25/05
Meggy Mickel		PO Box 1444 Dr. T.C. 96145	7/25/05
Noah Fier		320 Tamara TC 96145	7/25/05
Jens Legatier		6660 W. Lake Blvd. Tahoe 96142	7/25/05
Chris & Debra		3600 N. Lakeside TC 96145	7/25/05
Kevin M. Parry		Box 1087 T.C. CA 96145	7/25/05
Andrea Kaulan		Box 2008 Olympic Valley Ca	7/25/05

Ken Anderson, Sierra District
P.O. Box 266
Tahoma, CA 96142
Kande@parks.ca.gov

Dear Mr. Anderson,

I am opposed to the plans for a campground in Burton Creek State Park. I feel that the Park best serves the public of the State of California and all of the tourists enjoying the Lake Tahoe area by remaining in its current "unimproved" state. After considering the impact on year round recreation in the park, environmental concerns, fire danger, and state budget issues, I oppose all of the alternatives proposed in the Burton Creek General Plan and would suggest enlargement of existing campgrounds to serve any perceived shortfall in State Park sites. Thanks for your Attention,

Name	Signed	Address	Date
JESSICA REPP	Jessica Repp	PO BOX 3309 ORCA 96146	7/21/05
Jessica Sobolowski	Jessica Sobolowski	7141 TC CA 96145	7/21/05
NOLA MILLER	Nola Miller	PO BOX 6804 TC CA 96145	7/21/05
ERIN SCHREIBER	Erin Schreiber	PO BOX 727 Carmelien Bay	7/21/05
LEE ROESSE	Lee Roesson	PO BOX 666 Tahoe City CA	7-21-05
BERND BREMER	Bernd Bremer	PO Box 6888 T.C. CA	7-21-05
BARBARA BLANCK	Barbara Blum	" " " " "	" "
Jennifer Cashen	Jennifer Cashen	P.O. Box 3309 Olympic Valley, Ca	96145 7/21/05
Samie Wilford	Samie Wilford	Box 1403 T.C.	7/26/05

Ken Anderson, Sierra District
P.O. Box 266
Tahoma, CA 96142
Kande@parks.ca.gov

Dear Mr. Anderson,

I am opposed to the plans for a campground in Burton Creek State Park. I feel that the Park best serves the public of the State of California and all of the tourists enjoying the Lake Tahoe area by remaining in its current "unimproved" state. After considering the impact on year round recreation in the park, environmental concerns, fire danger, and state budget issues, I oppose all of the alternatives proposed in the Burton Creek General Plan and would suggest enlargement of existing campgrounds to serve any perceived shortfall in State Park sites. Thanks for your Attention,

SIGN BY JULY 31ST

Name	Signed	Address	Date
Charles Miller		P.O. Box 369 T. City, 96145	7/17/05
David Brooks		1700 O St. Apt A Sac, CA 95811	7/17/05
Faye Madigan		1017 Carrington Place Charlottesville VA	7/17/05
Christal Wilson		30 Box 1574 T. City, CA 96145	7/17/05
Michael Madigan		Box 361 Tahoe Vista CA 96148	7/18/05
Carole Le Gall		372 Main Common Lincroft 94550	7/25/05
Jane Ryan		13861 Misty Meadow Ln Nevada City 95959	7/25/05

Ken Anderson, Sierra District
P.O. Box 266
Tahoma, CA 96142
Kander@parks.ca.gov

Dear Mr. Anderson,

I am opposed to the plans for a campground in Burton Creek State Park. I feel that the Park best serves the public of the State of California and all of the tourists enjoying the Lake Tahoe area by remaining in its current "unimproved" state. After considering the impact on year round recreation in the park, environmental concerns, fire danger, and state budget issues, I oppose all of the alternatives proposed in the Burton Creek General Plan and would suggest enlargement of existing campgrounds to serve any perceived shortfall in State Park sites. Thanks for your Attention,

Name	Signed	Address	Date
Annette Perdue	Annette Perdue	495 Fairway	7/15
Scott Murray	Scott Murray	495 Fairway	7/15
Shelley Green	Shelley Green	1413 Cromwell	7/16
Amy Terran	Amy Terran	3054 Kitchener Rd	7-16
Christina Miller	Christina Miller	2003 Woodhill Ct	7-16
Elizabeth Hickey	Elizabeth Hickey	3955 Forest Dr.	7-16

Dear Mr. Anderson,

Name	Signed	Address	Date
Courtlin Meade	<i>[Signature]</i>	12600 Squaw Valley Rd -	7/24
Jeremy Benson	<i>[Signature]</i>	370 Forest Glen Rd	7/26
Tom LANE	<i>[Signature]</i>	1544 Cortina CT	7/26

Ken Anderson, Sierra District

P.O. Box 266

Tahoma, CA 96142

Kande@parks.ca.gov

Dear Mr. Anderson,

I am opposed to the plans for a campground in Burton Creek State Park. I feel that the Park best serves the public of the State of California and all of the tourists enjoying the Lake Tahoe area by remaining in its current "unimproved" state. After considering the impact on year round recreation in the park, environmental concerns, fire danger, and state budget issues, I oppose all of the alternatives proposed in the Burton Creek General Plan and would suggest enlargement of existing campgrounds to serve any perceived shortfall in State Park sites. Thanks for your Attention,

[illegible]